The strongSwan Project

IPsec Workshop Dresden, March 2018



Tobias Brunner & Andreas Steffen Institute for Networked Solutions HSR University of Applied Sciences Rapperswil



Where the heck is Rapperswil?





HSR - Hochschule für Technik Rapperswil



- University of Applied Sciences with about 1500 students
- Faculty of Information Technology (300-400 students)
- Bachelor Course (3 years), Master Course (+1.5 years)



Agenda



- Overview of current strongSwan active/active HA solution
- Proposed XFRM Extensions
 - Enforcing policies for inbound transport mode SAs
 - Different timeouts for acquire states and SPIs
 - Query available algorithms via XFRM
 - ESP in UDP encapsulation for IPv6
 - Proper way to handle virtual IPv6 addresses
 - Marking inbound traffic after decryption

The strongSwan Project

IPsec Workshop Dresden, March 26-28 2018

Current Active/Active HA Solution





High Availability Design Goals



- Transparent to VPN clients
- No extensions to the IKEv2 protocol required
- No explicit synchronization of ESP sequence numbers between redundant gateways
- Both Active/Passive (Hot-Standby) and Active/Active (Load Sharing) scenarios to be supported

HA Solution using ClusterIP Mechanism







Intranet Intranet Segment 1 Segment 1 venus moon venus moon Segment 1 Segment 1 mars mars Internet Internet



Intranet Intranet Segment 1 Segment 2 Segment 1 Segment 2 moon venus moon venus Segment 2 Segment 2 Segment 1 Segment 1 mars mars Internet Internet





Changes to ClusterIP Module



- Extended ClusterIP hash: jhash 2words(daddr.a4, spi)
- Inbound packet handling
 - SA lookup to determine SPI
 - Responsible for segment: Decrypt ESP packet and update anti-replay window
 - Not responsible for segment: Decrypt every 16th ESP packet, update anti-replay window and drop packet
- Outbound packet handling
 - Policy/SA lookup to determine SPI and destination address
 - Increase sequence number
 - Responsible for segment: Encrypt packet
 - Not responsible for segment: Drop packet

Next Generation HA?



- IPv6 not supported by ClusterIP
- HA kernel patch against a moving Linux kernel target
- Possibility of a Linux kernel upstream solution?
- Switch from ClusterIP to xt_cluster which supports IPv4 and IPv6
- Other ideas?

The strongSwan Project

IPsec Workshop Dresden, March 26-28 2018

Proposed XFRM Extensions





Enforcing Policies for Inbound Transport Mode SAs



- Currently the Linux kernel does not enforce policies for IPsec transport mode.
- Policy: TCP *:80 -> Peer can send other protocols or to other ports
- Patch by Tobias posted 2014 on netdev mailing list.

Different Timeouts for Acquire States and SPIs



 Currently, SPIs allocated with XFRM_MSG_ALLOCSPI expire after the same timeout that is also used for the temporary states allocated after sending an acquire to the IKE daemon (/proc/sys/net/core/xfrm_acq_expires).

- However, keeping acquire states around that long might not be desired (e.g. in the trap-any scenario, although a populate-frompacket feature could help here too).
- Using the lifetime config on struct xfrm_usersa_info that's part of struct xfrm_userspi_info this could easily be implemented.
- Patch by Tobias sent a year ago to Steffen Klassert.

Query Available Algorithms via XFRM



- To prepare an automatic ESP proposal it would be necessary to query the algorithms the kernel supports via XFRM. Similar to the feature provided by PF_KEY via xfrm_probe_algs(), however, that's not actually that useful because it's based on a static list.
- Ideally, we'd get a list of actually usable algorithms (modules? FIPS mode?)

UDP Encapsulation of ESP for IPv6



- UDP encapsulation of ESP is supported for IPv4 but strangely not for IPv6 even though natting IPv6 has been possible for a while.
- For us it is mainly of interest because our Android app requires UDP encapsulation to work in userland.
- With the upcoming TCP encapsulation this might be less of a problem, but it's usually preferable to use UDP encap over TCP encap.
- POC patch by Tobias available.
- Handling of UDP header checksum (RFC 6935/RFC 6936)?

Proper Way to Handle Virtual IPv6 Addresses



- We currently install virtual IPv6 addresses received from a server on a local interface and install specific source routes with that address and the remote subnets.
- The address is marked deprecated, the idea being that the kernel will only use this address for the explicit routes but not when doing address selection for other destinations.
- The question is whether this is the proper way of doing this.

Marking Inbound Traffic After Decryption



- Similar to the new outbound mark that's applied after encryption (XFRMA_OUTPUT_MARK) we'd like to discuss the possibility of adding a similar feature that applies a mark to inbound packets right after decryption.
- This would simplify applying a mark to specific tunnels (e.g. for QoS) without having to mark before encryption or based on possibly dynamic values like SPI/reqid.
- Patch by Steffen Klassert exists.